



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,540	04/18/2001	Naoto Kinjo	Q63865	6811

7590 12/30/2004
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

CARLSON, JEFFREY D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/836,540

Applicant(s)

KINJO, NAOTO

Examiner

Jeffrey D. Carlson

Art Unit

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-19 and 24-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 20-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the paper(s) filed 10/20/04.

Election/Restrictions

5 Claims 16-19, 24-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/20/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

15 **Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Eckhoff (Eckhoff, Jean, "News Briefs", 1-10-2000, "Convenience Store News," v36, n1, p14).**

20 Regarding claims 1, 2, 6, 11, Eckhoff teaches bank machines which provide customized advertising based on facial recognition technology. This inherently provides taking a photograph, extracting the face, analyzing a first characteristic (the features/shape of the face) and presenting targeted ads. The presentation of different ads, targeted for one person vs. another person is taken to provide "switching" the ad content/image in accordance with the first characteristic. The screen that shows the ads is taken to be an image display apparatus. The plurality of ATM/bank machines

Art Unit: 3622

which provide this advertising are taken to inherently be on the bank's communication network.

Regarding claim 3, targeting customized ads to users is taken to inherently include estimation of a characteristic such as "this person would likely be receptive to
5 this selected ad."

Regarding claim 5, the ad selected for display to the user is taken to be a higher priority ad than other, non-displayed ads.

Regarding claims 7, 8, the ATM machine could be considered a game apparatus where the game played by the user is "what the heck is my PIN number again?". There
10 are no features claimed which differentiate an ATM networked computer with a computer "game apparatus".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
15 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
20

Claims 4, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckhoff.

Regarding claim 4, Official Notice is taken that it is well known to use identified
25 characteristics about a user and estimate demographic characteristics in order to provide a basis for targeted advertising. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3622

skill at the time of the invention to have relied upon such estimated demographics for the basis of ad customization.

Regarding claims 9, 10, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided any type of well known display such as a

5 television monitor with the system of Eckhoff. The displayed advertisement is inherently in a specified area of the screen.

Claims 12-15, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckhoff in view of Fridman in view of Fridman (Fridman, Sherman,
10 **"Bans Eye Iris Scan Identification Technology," 12-9-1999, Newsbytes).**

Regarding claims 20-23, Fridman teaches specifics of an customized ad banking system whereby user characteristics are identified biometrically, compared to stored images of the customer base and whereby customized ads are presented to the user based on this information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the
15 time of the invention to have provided a centralized storage of previously-captured Eckhoff's user faces so that the targeted advertising can be accomplished. The ad selected for display to the user is taken to be a higher priority ad than other, non-displayed ads.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the
20 time of the invention to have stored the user profiles at a central server so that the plurality of ATMs can access the centralized required databases and deliver advertising across the network.

Regarding claims 13-15, Fridman teaches that the targeted ads can be displayed on a screen or by hard copy (mail). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided a hard copy of the ads to the user at the ATM by way of the well known receipt printer. As stated above, the presentation of different ads, targeted for one person vs. another person is taken to provide "switching" the ad content/image, regardless of the medium of the output.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Giraud (US5966696) teaches complex image capturing systems that detect faces of nearby people in order to present advertising to them [col 2, 5, 6].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 703-308-3402. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6p, (off on alternate Fridays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

5 more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Jeffrey D. Carlson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3622

10 jdc